

**Francaviglia, Stefano**

**Hyperbolic volume of representations of fundamental groups of cusped 3-manifolds.** (English)

Zbl 1088.57015

Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, No. 9, 425-459 (2004).

A complete hyperbolic metric on a compact or cusped 3-manifold  $M$  induces a discrete faithful representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ : namely, one identifies the universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  of  $M$  with  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  with the covering translations of the cover  $\mathbb{H}^3 = \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . Mostow rigidity then implies that  $\rho$  is well-defined up to conjugation within  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , hence that the (finite) volume  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho) = \mathrm{vol}(M)$  of  $M$  is a topological invariant.

The author notes that Dunfield has explained how to calculate a volume  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho)$  for all representations  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , discrete and faithful or not, provided that the cusp structure is respected.

This paper is devoted to showing that the Dunfield volume  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho)$  is well-defined – that is,  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho)$  is independent of the choices made in Dunfield’s construction. The volume can be computed by “straightening” any ideal triangulation of  $M$ .

The author also proves that, if  $M$  is cusped-hyperbolic and  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho) \geq \mathrm{vol}(M)$ , then, in fact,  $\mathrm{vol}(\rho) = \mathrm{vol}(M)$  and  $\rho$  is discrete and faithful.

Reviewer: [James W. Cannon \(Provo\)](#)

**MSC:**

- [57M50](#) General geometric structures on low-dimensional manifolds
- [53C24](#) Rigidity results
- [53A35](#) Non-Euclidean differential geometry
- [57N10](#) Topology of general 3-manifolds (MSC2010)

Cited in **27** Documents

**Keywords:**

hyperbolic volume; cusped 3-manifold;  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$

**Full Text:** [DOI](#) [arXiv](#)