

[Ali, Majid M.](#)

**The Ohm type properties for multiplication ideals.** (English) Zbl 1054.13500  
[Beitr. Algebra Geom.](#) 37, No. 2, 399-414 (1996).

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Given a nonempty collection  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of ideals with  $I = \sum_\lambda I_\lambda$ , it is of interest to know when

$$(*k) \quad (\sum_\lambda I_\lambda)^k = \sum_\lambda I_\lambda^k, \text{ or}$$

$$(**k) \quad (\bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda)^k = \bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda^k$$

holds. For example, it is well known that if  $R$  is a Prüfer domain then  $(*k)$  holds for all natural numbers  $k$  while  $(**k)$  holds for all natural numbers  $k$  when  $\Lambda$  is finite, but need not hold in general. The purpose of this paper is to determine more general conditions under which  $(*k)$  or  $(**k)$  holds. The author first proves that if  $I = \sum_\lambda I_\lambda$  is a multiplication ideal (i.e., each ideal contained in  $I$  is a multiple of  $I$ ), then  $(*k)$  holds for each  $k \geq 1$ .

He then claims that if  $I = I_1 + \cdots + I_n$  is a multiplication ideal, then  $(I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_n)^k = I_1^k \cap \cdots \cap I_n^k$ . This is not correct as may be seen by taking  $R = K[X^2, X^3]$ ,  $K$  a field,  $I_1 = X^2R$ ,  $I_2 = X^4R$ ,  $I_3 = X^5R$  and  $k = 2$ . (The proof, while correct for the case  $n = 2$ , seems to assume that a subsum of  $n - 1$  ideals from  $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$  is a multiplication ideal.) This error aside, the paper does contain a number of other correct results involving multiplication ideals.

Reviewer: [Daniel D. Anderson \(Iowa City\)](#)

**MSC:**

[13A15](#) Ideals and multiplicative ideal theory in commutative rings

Cited in **2** Documents

**Keywords:**

[multiplication ideal](#); [Ohm type properties](#)

**Full Text:** [EuDML](#)